C.S. 1001 SINGLE BENCH

(1) 3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 5870 /2005

PETITIONER : Arun Kumar Chandahe, aged about 44 years, S/o. Late Shri R.P. Chandahe, Presently working as Sub Engineer, Municipal Council Bhilai Charauda, District Durg, Chhattisgarh, R/o. F-2, Staff Quarter, Vasundhara Nagar, South Bhilai -3, District Durg, Chattisgarh

P.R. No. 6006/05
Presented by Shri Prakash Jha
dated 21/11/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh Department of Urban Administration & Development D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

SERVED-COPY 21.11.05 BILASPUR

2. The Director, Directorate of Urban Administration & Development, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. The Municipal Council Bhilai Charauda, Through: Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Council Bhilai Charauda, District Durg, Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PARTICULARS ABOUT THE PETITIONER:

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर 21

आदेश पत्रक

WP 5870/05

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9-12-2005 Shri Ranbir Singh Marhas, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier the petitioner has been transferred from Nagar Panchayat, Gandai to Nagar Palika Parishad, Bhilai-Charoda vide order dated 4-8-2005 (Annexure-P/2). He further submits that just within two months vide order dated 28-10-2005 (Annexure-P/1) the petitioner has again been transferred from Nagar Palika Parishad, Bhilai-Charoda to Nagar Palika Parishad, Balod i.e. during the ban period. He also submits that on being transferred the petitioner the education of his children would be adversely affected. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that for the present ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the respondent authorities to decide the representation of the petitioner. The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case the petitioner is directed to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a period of 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that till date the petitioner has not been relieved. He prays for grant of interim relief. In view of the above, it is directed that if the petitioner is not relieved he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.4669/2005 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

Gowri

14.12.05